


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
Miami Division

Case Number: 05-22262-CIV-MARTINEZ-BANDSTRA




NATHANIEL SCHWARTZ, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.



**CLOSED
CIVIL
CASE**

ORDER STAYING CASE AND ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING CASE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon the parties' Stipulation Staying Action, which is attached to this Order. That Stipulation requests that this action be stayed pending the decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation whether to consolidate this case as part of MDL 1717, *In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation*. It is hereby:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that

This case shall be **ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSED**, for statistical purposes only, and this shall not affect any substantive rights of any of the parties. Any party may move to reopen this case at the appropriate time. The Clerk is directed to DENY all pending motions as moot for statistical purpose only. This shall not prejudice the rights of the parties to this litigation.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, this 23 day of November, 2005.


JOSE E. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies provided to:
Magistrate Judge Bandstra
All Counsel of Record



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.: 05-22262-CIV- MARTINEZ/BANDSTRA

NATHANIEL SCHWARTZ,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
Corporation

Defendant.




STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ACTION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties,
through their undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, that the above-
styled action is stayed pending the decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
Litigation whether to consolidate this case as part of MDL 1717, *In re Intel Corp.*
Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation.

Dated: October 31, 2005

HARKE & CLASBY LLP




Lance A. Harke, P.A.
(Fla. Bar No. 863599)
Howard M. Bushman
(Fla. Bar No. 0364230)
155 South Miami Avenue
Suite 600
Miami, FL 33130
Phone: (305) 536-8220
Facsimile: (305) 536-8229

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL
SHAPIRO LLP
Steve W. Berman
Anthony D. Shapiro
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Attorneys for Plaintiff
NATHANIEL SCHWARTZ

DUANE MORRIS LLP



Harvey W. Gurland, Jr., P.A.
(Fla. Bar No. 284033)
200 South Biscayne Boulevard, 34th Floor
Miami, FL 33131
Telephone: (305) 960-2200
Facsimile: (305) 960-2201

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
David M. Balabanian
Christopher B. Hockett
Joy K. Fuyuno
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: (415) 393-2000
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286

Richard A. Ripley
1120 20th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 778-6101
Facsimile: (202) 393-6929


Attorneys for Defendant
INTEL CORPORATION

SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2005

HON. JOSE E. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was sent via United States Mail this 1st day of November, 2005, to: Lance A. Harke, P.A., Howard M. Bushman, Esq., Harke & Clasby, LLP, 155 South Miami Avenue, Suite 600, Miami, Florida 33130 and Steve W. Berman, Esq., Anthony D. Shapiro, Esq., 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98101.


Harvey W. Gurland, Jr., P.A.